arXiv:math/0003077v1 [math.AG] 14 Mar 2000

POINCARÉ POLYNOMIALS OF HYPERQUOT SCHEMES

LINDA CHEN

1. Introduction

In this paper, we find generating functions for the Poincaré polynomials of hyperquot schemes for all partial flag varieties. These generating functions give the Betti numbers of hyperquot schemes, and thus give dimension information for the cohomology ring of every hyperquot scheme.

Let $\mathbf{F}(n;\mathbf{s})$ denote the partial flag variety corresponding to flags of the form:

$$V_1 \subset V_2 \subset ... \subset V_l \subset V = \mathbb{C}^n$$

with dim $V_i = s_i$. The space $\mathrm{Mor}_{\mathbf{d}}(\mathbf{P}^1, \mathbf{F}(n;\mathbf{s}))$ of morphisms from $\mathbf{P}^1$ to $\mathbf{F}(n;\mathbf{s})$ of multidegree $\mathbf{d} = (d_1, ..., d_l)$ can be viewed as the space of successive quotients of $V_{\mathbf{P}^1}$ of vector bundles of rank r_i and degree d_i, where $r_i := n - s_i$ and $V_{\mathbf{P}^1} := V \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^1}$ is a trivial rank n vector bundle over $\mathbf{P}^1$. Its compactification, the hyperquot scheme which we denote $\mathcal{HQ}_{\mathbf{d}} = \mathcal{HQ}_{\mathbf{d}}(\mathbf{F}(n;\mathbf{s}))$, parametrizes flat families of successive quotient sheaves of $V_{\mathbf{P}^1}$ of rank r_i and degree d_i. It is a generalization of Grothendieck's Quot scheme [G].

There has been much interest in compactifications of moduli spaces of maps, for example the stable maps of Kontsevich. Hyperquot schemes are another natural such compactification. Indeed, most of what is known so far about the quantum cohomology of Grassmanians and flag varieties has been obtained by using Quot scheme compactifications. They have been used by Bertram to study Gromov-Witten invariants and a quantum Schubert calculus [B1] [B2] for Grassmannians, and by Ciocan-Fontanine and Kim to study Gromov-Witten invariants and the quantum cohomology ring of flag varieties and partial flag varieties [K] [C-F1] [C-F2] [FGP], see also [C1] [C2].

The paper is organized in the following way:

In section 2, we give some properties of hyperquot schemes, including a description of the Zariski tangent space to $\mathcal{HQ}_{\mathbf{d}}$ at a point.

In section 3, we consider a torus action on the hyperquot scheme. By the theorems of Bialynicki-Birula, the fixed points of this action give a cell decomposition of $\mathcal{HQ}_{\mathbf{d}}$ [BB1][BB2]. The fixed point data is organized to give a generating function for the topological Euler characteristic of $\mathcal{HQ}_{\mathbf{d}}$.

In section 4, we use the Zariski tangent space to $\mathcal{HQ}_{\mathbf{d}}$ at a point as described in section 2 to compute tangent weights at the fixed points. This

---

Date: February 1, 2008.

gives an implicit formula for the Betti numbers of $\mathcal{HQ}_{\mathbf{d}}$. The torus action and techniques are similar to those used by Strømme in the case $l = 1$, where $\mathbf{F}(n : s)$ is the Grassmannian $\mathbf{G}_s(n)$ and $\mathcal{HQ}_{\mathbf{d}}$ is the ordinary Quot scheme [S].

In section 5, we reorganize the implicit formula for the Betti numbers in a way that reduces the problem to a purely combinatorial one. In particular, we collect the information into the form of a generating function.

Let $\mathcal{P}(\mathbf{X}) = \sum_M b_{2M}(\mathbf{X})z^M$ denote the Poincaré polynomial of a space $\mathbf{X}$. It is classically known that $\mathcal{P}(\mathbf{F}(n;\mathbf{s}))$ is equal to the following generating function for the Betti numbers of the partial flag variety:

$$\mathcal{P}(\mathbf{F}(n;\mathbf{s})) = \sum_M b_{2M}(\mathbf{F})z^M = \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{n}(1-z^i)}{\prod_{j=1}^{l+1}\prod_{i=1}^{s_j-s_{j-1}}(1-z^i)}$$

with $s_{l+1} := n$ and $s_0 := 0$.

Defining $f_k^{i,j} := 1 - t_i \cdots t_j z^k$, the main result is:

Theorem 1.

$$\sum_{d_1,\dots,d_l} \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{HQ}_{\mathbf{d}}(\mathbf{F}(n;\mathbf{s})))t_1^{d_1}...t_l^{d_l} =$$

$$\mathcal{P}(\mathbf{F}(n;\mathbf{s})) \cdot \prod_{1\le i\le j\le l}\ \prod_{s_{i-1}<k\le s_i} \left(\frac{1}{f^{i,j}_{s_j-k}}\right)\left(\frac{1}{f^{i,j}_{s_{j+1}-k+1}}\right)$$

In section 6, we discuss the special cases of the ordinary Quot scheme and of the hyperquot scheme for complete flags, and provide some specific examples.

2. Hyperquot Schemes

We fix some notation. Let $V = \mathbb{C}^n$ be a complex n-dimensional vector space. Let $\mathbf{F} := \mathbf{F}(n;\mathbf{s})$ denote the partial flag variety corresponding to flags of the form:

$$V_1 \subset V_2 \subset \dots \subset V_l \subset V$$

where V_i is a complex subspace of dimension s_i. We have $s_0 := 0 < s_1 < \dots < s_l < s_{l+1} := n$. Define $r_i := n - s_i$. As a special case, let $\mathbf{F}(n) = \mathbf{F}(n; 1, 2, \dots, n-1)$ denote the complete flag variety, with $\mathcal{HQ}_{\mathbf{d}}(\mathbf{F}(n))$ the corresponding hyperquot scheme for complete flags. Also note that the Grassmannian parametrizing r-dimensional quotients of V, is also a special case, $\mathbf{G}^r(n) = \mathbf{F}(n; n-r)$.

For any space T, let V_T denote the trivial rank n vector bundle on T, i.e. $V_T := V \otimes \mathcal{O}_T$.

Consider a functor $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{d}}$ from the category of schemes to the category of sets. For a scheme T, $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{d}}(T)$ is defined to be the set of flagged quotient sheaves

$$V_{\mathbf{P}^1\times T} \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{B}_1 \twoheadrightarrow \cdots \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{B}_l$$

with each $\mathcal{B}_i$ flat over T with Hilbert polynomial $\chi(\mathbf{P}^1, (\mathcal{B}_i)_t(m)) = (m+1)r_i + d_i$ on the fibers of $\pi_T : \mathbf{P}^1 \times T \to T$. This last condition requires that $\mathcal{B}_i$ be of rank r_i and relative degree d_i over T, so that for any $t \in T$, $(\mathcal{B}_i)_t$ is of degree d_i.

It is proven that the functor $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{d}}$ is represented by the projective scheme $\mathcal{HQ}_{\mathbf{d}} = \mathcal{HQ}_{\mathbf{d}}(\mathbf{F}(n;\mathbf{s}))$ following the ideas of Grothendieck and Mumford [C-F2][G][M]. It has also been described in a different way by Kim [K], as a closed subscheme of a product of Quot schemes. Kim also proves the following result:

Theorem 2 (Kim)**.** *$\mathcal{HQ}_{\mathbf{d}}(\mathbf{F}(n;\mathbf{s}))$ is an irreducible, rational, nonsingular, projective variety of dimension*

$$\sum_{i=1}^{l} d_i(s_{i+1} - s_{i-1}) + \dim(\mathbf{F}(n;\mathbf{s}))$$

with $s_0 = 0, s_{l+1} = n$ and $\dim(\mathbf{F}(n;\mathbf{s})) = \sum_{i=1}^{l}(s_{i+1} - s_i)s_i$. In particular, the theorem states that $\dim \mathcal{HQ}_{\mathbf{d}}(\mathbf{F}(n)) = 2|d| + \binom{n}{2}$ where $|d| = \sum_{i=1}^{l} d_i$.

Associated to $\mathcal{HQ}_{\mathbf{d}}(\mathbf{F}(n;\mathbf{s}))$ is a universal sequence of sheaves on $\mathbf{P}^1 \times \mathcal{HQ}_{\mathbf{d}}$ of successive quotients of sheaves, each of which is flat over $\mathcal{HQ}_{\mathbf{d}}$.

$$V_{\mathbf{P}^1 \times \mathcal{HQ}_{\mathbf{d}}} \twoheadrightarrow B_1 \twoheadrightarrow \cdots \twoheadrightarrow B_l.$$

Define A_i as the kernel of $V_{\mathbf{P}^1 \times \mathcal{HQ}_{\mathbf{d}}} \to B_i$. Each A_i is flat over $\mathcal{HQ}_{\mathbf{d}}$, and it is an easy consequence of flatness that each A_i is locally free. Thus, we have the following universal sequence on $\mathbf{P}^1 \times \mathcal{HQ}_{\mathbf{d}}$:

$$A_1 \hookrightarrow A_2 \hookrightarrow \cdots \hookrightarrow A_l \hookrightarrow V_{\mathbf{P}^1 \times \mathcal{HQ}_{\mathbf{d}}} \twoheadrightarrow B_1 \twoheadrightarrow \cdots \twoheadrightarrow B_l. \tag{1}$$

with A_i of rank s_i, B_i of rank $n - s_i$. Denote the inclusion maps by $\gamma_i : A_i \hookrightarrow A_{i+1}$ and the surjections by $\pi_i : B_{i-1} \to B_i$ for each $1 \le i \le l$. Here, we define $A_{l+1} = B_0 = V_{\mathbf{P}^1 \times \mathcal{HQ}_{\mathbf{d}}}$ and $A_0 = B_{l+1} = 0$. The map $\gamma_i : A_i \hookrightarrow A_{i+1}$ is an inclusion of sheaves, not an inclusion of bundles.

The following proposition, proved by Ciocan-Fontanine following the ideas in Kollar's work on Hilbert schemes, determines the Zariski tangent space of $\mathcal{HQ}_{\mathbf{d}}$ at a point [Ko].

Proposition 1. *Let $x \in \mathcal{HQ}_{\mathbf{d}}$ correspond to successive quotients and subsheaves of $V_{\mathbf{P}^1}$:*

$$\mathcal{A}_1 \hookrightarrow \cdots \hookrightarrow \mathcal{A}_l \hookrightarrow V_{\mathbf{P}^1 \times \mathcal{HQ}_{\mathbf{d}}} \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{B}_1 \twoheadrightarrow \cdots \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{B}_l.$$

Then we have the following exact sequence:

$$0 \to (T_{\mathcal{HQ}_{\mathbf{d}}})_x \to \bigoplus_{i=1}^{l} \mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{A}_i, \mathcal{B}_i) \xrightarrow{d} \bigoplus_{i=1}^{l-1} \mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{A}_i, \mathcal{B}_{i+1}) \to 0$$

where $(T_{\mathcal{HQ}_{\mathbf{d}}})_x$ is the Zariski tangent space to $\mathcal{HQ}_{\mathbf{d}}$ at the point x, and d is the restriction of the difference map given by $d(\{\phi_i\}) = \{\pi_{i+1} \circ \phi_i - \phi_{i+1} \circ \gamma_i\}$.

3. A Torus Action

In this section, we use the torus action introduced by Strømme in Theorem 3.6 of [S]. In the case of the ordinary Quot scheme, we obtain the same description of the fixed points as Strømme, but with slightly different notation. Our description allows us to provide a full description of the fixed point locus of the hyperquot scheme under this torus action.

Consider a maximal (n-dimensional) torus T in $GL(V)$ which acts on V and hence induces an action on subsheaves of $V_{\mathbf{P}^1}$. As a $\mathbb{C}T$-module, V splits as a direct sum of one-dimensional subspaces, $\oplus_{i=1}^n W_i$. Denote $\mathcal{O}_i := W_i \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^1}$.

For $f_k \in H^0(\mathbf{P}^1, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^1}(d_k))$, a form of degree d_k, let $f_k\mathcal{O}_i(-d_k) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{O}_i$ denote the sheaf $\mathcal{O}_i(-d_k)$ defined by the section f_k.

Lemma 1. *A locally free subsheaf $\mathcal{S} \hookrightarrow V_{\mathbf{P}^1}$ of rank s and degree $-d$ is fixed by the action of T if and only if it is of the form*

$$\mathcal{S} = \bigoplus_{k=1}^{s} f_k \mathcal{O}_{c_k}(-d_k)$$

where d_k are nonnegative integers such that $\sum_k d_k = d$, $1 \leq c_1 < \cdots < c_s \leq n$, and f_k is a homogeneous form in X and Y of degree d_k.

Proof. Since T acts with different weights on each $\mathcal{O}_i$, $\mathcal{S} \hookrightarrow V_{\mathbf{P}^1}$ is a fixed point of T if and only if $\mathcal{S} = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \mathcal{S}_i$ where $\mathcal{S}_i := \mathcal{S} \cap \mathcal{O}_i$. Since $\mathrm{rank}(\mathcal{S}) = s$, $\mathcal{S}_i \neq \emptyset$ for exactly s such i, say $\mathcal{S}_{c_k} \neq \emptyset$ for a sequence $1 \leq c_1 < \cdots < c_s \leq n$.

Since we have $\mathcal{S}_{c_k} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{c_k}$, we know that we can write $\mathcal{S}_{c_k} = \mathcal{O}_{c_k}(-d_k)$ for some nonnegative integer d_k.

Threfore we have:

$$\mathcal{S} = \bigoplus_{k=1}^{s} \mathcal{S}_{c_k} = v \bigoplus_{k=1}^{s} \mathcal{O}_{c_k}(-d_k),$$

where $\deg \mathcal{S}_{c_k} = -d_k$ with $\sum_{k=1}^s d_k = d$. Since the inclusion of $\mathcal{S}_{c_k}$ is given by some section f_k, which is a polynomial of degree d_k in X and Y, the lemma is proven.

Let T' be the one-dimensional torus which acts on $H^0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^1}(1))$ by $X \mapsto tX$ and $Y \mapsto t^{-1}Y$. Then T' acts on $\mathbf{P}^1$ and hence on subsheaves of $V_{\mathbf{P}^1}$. Thus under the action of the product torus $T \times T'$, the fixed points are subsheaves $\mathcal{S} \hookrightarrow V_{\mathbf{P}^1}$ fixed by both T and T'. A point $(\oplus_{k=1}^s f_k \mathcal{O}_{c_k}(-d_k) \hookrightarrow V_{\mathbf{P}^1})$ is fixed by T' if and only if each f_k is a monomial in X and Y.

Therefore, we have proven:

Lemma 2. *A locally free subsheaf $S \hookrightarrow V_{\mathbf{P}^1}$ of rank s and degree $-d$ is fixed under the action of $T \times T'$ if and only if it is of the form*

$$\bigoplus_{k=1}^{s} X^{a_k} Y^{b_k} \mathcal{O}_{c_k}(-a_k - b_k).$$

Here, $\mathcal{O}_i$ denotes the ith component of the trivial rank n vector bundle $V_{\mathbf{P}^1}$, and (a, b, c) are sequences of s nonnegative integers satisfying:

1. $\sum_{k=1}^{s} a_k + b_k = d$
2. $1 \le c_1 < \cdots < c_s \le n$

Remark. This combinatorial data is equivalent to the fixed point data of Strømme. For an element (α, β, δ) as in [S], let $\delta_{c_1} = ...\delta_{c_{n-r}} = 1$ be the nonzero elements, with $1 \le c_1 < ... < c_{n-r} \le n$. Then the sequence (α, β, δ) corresponds to the sequence $(a, b, \mathbf{c})$.

3.1. **A torus action on $\mathcal{HQ}_{\mathbf{d}}$.** Note that a point of $\mathcal{HQ}_{\mathbf{d}}$ can be given by successive subsheaves over $\mathbf{P}^1$ of $V_{\mathbf{P}^1} = \oplus_{i=1}^{n} \mathcal{O}_i$.

Let T and T' be as above. Since the actions of T and T' extend to actions on $\mathcal{HQ}_{\mathbf{d}}(\mathbf{F}(n; \mathbf{s}))$, we have an action of $T \times T'$ on $\mathcal{HQ}_{\mathbf{d}}$.

Using the same methods as used in section 3, we find the fixed points of $\mathcal{HQ}_{\mathbf{d}}$ under this action.

A point of $\mathcal{HQ}_{\mathbf{d}}$ can be given by a sequence of subsheaves

$$\mathcal{A}_1 \hookrightarrow \cdots \hookrightarrow \mathcal{A}_l \hookrightarrow V_{\mathbf{P}^1}$$

where $\operatorname{rank} \mathcal{A}_i = s_i$ and $\deg \mathcal{A}_i = -d_i$. Let $\mathcal{A}$ denote this sequence $\{\mathcal{A}_i\}_{i=1}^{l}$. Then $\mathcal{A}$ is fixed by the action of $T \times T'$ if and only if each $\mathcal{A}_i \hookrightarrow V_{\mathbf{P}^1}$ is fixed and the inclusions $\mathcal{A}_i \hookrightarrow \mathcal{A}_{i+1}$ hold. By Proposition 2, $\mathcal{A}_i$ is fixed when

$$\mathcal{A}_i = \bigoplus_{j=1}^{s_i} \mathcal{A}_{i,j} := \bigoplus_{j=1}^{s_i} X^{a_{i,j}} Y^{b_{i,j}} \mathcal{O}_{c_{i,j}}(-a_{i,j} - b_{i,j})$$

where $\sum_{1 \le j \le s_i} a_{i,j} + b_{i,j} = d_i$ and $1 \le c_{i,s_{i-1}+1} < \cdots < c_{i,s_i} \le n$. Here, we denote by $\mathcal{O}(-a-b)$ the line bundle on $\mathbf{P}^1$ given by global section $X^a Y^b$.

The inclusion $\mathcal{A}_i \hookrightarrow \mathcal{A}_{i+1}$ holds under exactly the following conditions:

1. $c_{i,j} = c_{i+1,j}$ whenever $1 \le j \le s_i$.
2.
$$\mathcal{O}_{c_{i,j}}(-a_{i,j} - b_{i,j}) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{c_{i,j}}(-a_{i+1,j} - b_{i+1,j}) \tag{2}$$
is an inclusion of sheaves.

Let $S := S(n; s_1, ...s_l)$ be the subset of S_n consisting of permutations $\sigma \in S_n$ such that if $\sigma(i) < \sigma(i+1)$ unless $i \in (s_1, ...s_l)$. More explicitly, an element $\sigma \in S$ is such that

$$\sigma(s_{i-1} + 1) < \cdots < \sigma(s_i) \text{ for } 1 \le i \le l. \tag{3}$$

Therefore every sequence $\{c_{i,j}\}$ corresponds to an element $\sigma \in S$ by $c_{i,j} = \sigma(j)$, and this correspondence is a bijection by the first condition above.

The second condition gives conditions on the sequences of nonnegative integers a and b. The inclusion of sheaves $\mathcal{O}(-a_{i,j} - b_{i,j}) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{O}$ is given by the global section $X^{a_{i,j}} Y^{b_{i,j}}$ and $\mathcal{O}(-a_{i+1,j} - b_{i+1,j}) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{O}$ is given by the global section $X^{a_{i+1,j}} Y^{b_{i+1,j}}$. Therefore (2) gives an inclusion of subsheaves if and only if that inclusion is given by global sections

$$X^{a_{i,j} - a_{i+1,j}} Y^{b_{i,j} - b_{i+1,j}}$$

so that the conditions $a_{i,j} - a_{i+1,j} \geq 0$ and $b_{i,j} - b_{i+1,j} \geq 0$ must hold.

Let P be the set of (a, b, σ) such that a and b are sequences of nonnegative integers $a_{i,j}$ and $b_{i,j}$ with $1 \leq i \leq l, 1 \leq j \leq s_i$, and $\sigma \in S(n; s_1, ..., s_l)$ which satisfy:

1. $a_{i,j} \geq a_{i+1,j}$
2. $b_{i,j} \geq b_{i+1,j}$
3. $\sum_{j=1}^{s_i} a_{i,j} + b_{i,j} = d_i$

For $(a, b, \sigma) \in P$, define

$$\mathcal{A}_{i,j} = \begin{cases} \mathcal{O}_{\sigma(j)}(-a_{i,j} - b_{i,j}) & \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq l \text{ and } 1 \leq j \leq s_i \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

This defines sequences of subsheaves $\mathcal{A}_{i,j} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{A}_{i+1,j}$. Let $\mathcal{A}_i = \oplus_{j=1}^{s_i} \mathcal{A}_{i,j}$. Let $r(a, b, \sigma) \in \mathcal{HQ}_{\mathbf{d}}$ be the associated flag of subsheaves of $V_{\mathbf{P}^1}$. We have proven:

Proposition 2. *$r : P \to \mathcal{HQ}_{\mathbf{d}}$ is a bijection onto $\mathcal{HQ}_{\mathbf{d}}^{T \times T'}$.*

Let $\mathcal{B}_{i,j} := V_{\mathbf{P}^1}/\mathcal{A}_{i,j}$ be the corresponding quotient so that we have the following short exact sequences:

$$0 \to \mathcal{A}_{i,j} \to V_{\mathbf{P}^1} \to \mathcal{B}_{i,j} \to 0 \tag{4}$$

Similarly, define the sheaves $\mathcal{B}_i = \oplus_{j=1}^{s_i} \mathcal{B}_{i,j}$.

3.2. Euler characteristic.

Under a generic choice of one-dimensional subtorus $\Gamma \subset T \times T'$, $\mathcal{HQ}_{\mathbf{d}}$ has isolated fixed points under the action of Γ, with $\mathcal{HQ}_{\mathbf{d}}^{\Gamma} = \mathcal{HQ}_{\mathbf{d}}^{T \times T'}$. We know by Theorem 2 that $\mathcal{HQ}_{\mathbf{d}}(\mathbf{F}(n; \mathbf{s}))$ is a nonsingular complex projective variety. The odd cohomology of $\mathcal{HQ}_{\mathbf{d}}$ vanishes since the fixed point locus $\mathcal{HQ}_{\mathbf{d}}^{\Gamma}$ is finite [BB1] [BB2]. Therefore, the Euler characteristic is the number of fixed points. The fixed point data has been collected into the combinatorial data of the set E, so that the Euler characteristic is the cardinality of E. In this section, we find a generating function for the Euler characteristics of the hyperquot schemes. We prove:

Theorem 3.

$$\sum_{d_1, \ldots d_l} \chi(\mathcal{HQ}_{\mathbf{d}}(\mathbf{F}(n; \mathbf{s}))) t_1^{d_1} \ldots t_l^{d_l} = (\#(S)) \left(\prod_{1 \leq i \leq j \leq l} \frac{1}{(1 - t_i \ldots t_j)^{s_i - s_{i-1}}} \right)^2$$

The cardinality of the set of permutations $S = S(n; s_1, \cdots, s_l)$ is:

$$\#(S) = \prod_{i=0}^{l} \binom{n - s_i}{s_{i+1} - s_i}$$

with $s_0 = 0, s_{l+1} = n$.

Proof. Let $\alpha_{i,j} = a_{i,j} - a_{i+1,j}$ and $\beta_{i,j} = b_{i,j} - b_{i-1,j}$, where we let $a_{l+1,j} = b_{l+1,j} = 0$. Then $a_{i,j} = \sum_{k=i}^{l} \alpha_{k,j}$ and $b_{i,j} = \sum_{k=i}^{l} \beta_{k,j}$. Note that while the variables (a, b) satisfy various inequalities, the nonnegative integers of

(α, β) are independent. Consider the set P' of elements (α, β, σ) with $\sigma \in S$ satisfying:

$$\sum_{k=i}^{l} \sum_{j=1}^{s_i} \alpha_{k,j} + \beta_{k,j} = d_i. \tag{5}$$

Let P'' denote the set of pairs (α, β) of natural numbers satisfying the linear relations (5). We have constructed a bijection between E and E', so that $\chi(\mathcal{HQ}_{\mathbf{d}}(\mathbf{F}(n;\mathbf{s}))) = \#(P) = \#(P') = \#(S)\#(P'')$

We see that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left(\prod_{1 \le i \le j \le l} \frac{1}{(1 - t_i...t_j)^{s_i - s_{i-1}}} \right)^2 \\
&= \prod_{1 \le i \le j \le l} \prod_{s_{i-1} < k \le s_i} \sum_{\alpha_{k,j} \in \mathbb{N}} (t_i...t_j)^{\alpha_{k,j}} \sum_{\beta_{k,j} \in \mathbb{N}} (t_i...t_j)^{\beta_{k,j}} \\
&= \sum_{\alpha_{k,j}} \sum_{\beta_{k,j}} \prod_{i=1}^{l} t_i^{\sum_{k=i}^{l} \sum_{j=1}^{s_i} \alpha_{k,j} + \beta_{k,j}}
\end{aligned}$$

so that each set of natural numbers (α, β) satisfying the relations (5) contributes exactly one to the coefficient of $t_1^{d_1} \cdots t_l^{d_l}$. This proves the theorem.

4. AN IMPLICIT FORMULA FOR THE BETTI NUMBERS

Let $N >> 0$ be a large integer. Let $\Gamma \subset T \times T'$ be the one-dimensional subtorus which acts on $\mathcal{O}_i$ by $t \cdot v = t^{Ni} v$ and acts on $H^0(\mathbf{P}^1, \mathcal{O}(1))$ by $X \mapsto tX, Y \mapsto t^{-1}Y$. It is this $\mathbb{C}^*$ action of Γ on $\mathcal{HQ}_{\mathbf{d}}(\mathbf{F}(n;\mathbf{s}))$ which is used.

In order to find information about the contribution of the various fixed points to the Betti numbers, we must consider our $\mathbb{C}^*$ action of Γ on $\mathcal{HQ}_{\mathbf{d}}$ more carefully.

Fix the following notation. Consider Γ a $\mathbb{C}^*$-action on a scheme X. If $x \in X$ is a fixed point of this action, and E is a bundle over X, denote by E_x^+ the $\mathbb{C}\Gamma$- submodule of $E(x)$ where Γ acts with positive weights. In particular, the theorems of Bialynicki-Birula state that for isolated fixed points $\{x_i\} \in X_\Gamma$, there is a cell decomposition of X given by the orbits X_i of x_i, with $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} X_i = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} T_X(x_i)^+$ [BB1] [BB2].

We first compute the tangent weights at the fixed points of the $\mathbb{C}^*$ action of Γ on $\mathcal{HQ}_{\mathbf{d}}$. For $\sigma \in S$, define $\epsilon_{i,j}^{\sigma}$ for $1 \le i, j \le n$ by $\epsilon_{i,j}^{\sigma} = 1$ if $\sigma(i) < \sigma(j)$, $\epsilon_{i,j}^{\sigma} = 0$ otherwise. Note that $\epsilon_{i,j} + \epsilon_{j,i} = 1$ for $i \ne j$. Define $\varepsilon_{i(k,k']}^{\sigma}$ for $1 \le i, k, k' \le l$ by $\varepsilon_{i(k,k']}^{\sigma} = \sum_{s_k < j \le s'_k} \epsilon_{i,j}$ and similarly define $\varepsilon_{(k,k']j}^{\sigma} = \sum_{s_k < i \le s'_k} \epsilon_{i,j}$. If the permutation σ is understood, it may be suppressed.

Define a map $h : P \to \mathbb{Z}$ by:

$$
\begin{aligned}
(6) \qquad h(a,b,\sigma) \;=\; & \sum_{i=1}^{l} \sum_{k \le s_i} (a_{i,k} + b_{i,k} + 1)\varepsilon^{\sigma}_{k(i,i+1]} \\
+ \; & \sum_{i=1}^{l} \sum_{k \le s_i} (a_{i,k} + b_{i,k})\varepsilon^{\sigma}_{(i-1,i]k} + \sum_{i=1}^{l} \sum_{s_i < k \le s_{i+1}} b_{i,k}
\end{aligned}
$$

Then we have the following implicit formula for the Betti numbers of the hyperquot scheme:

Proposition 3. *For any (nonnegative) integer M,*

$$b_{2M}(\mathcal{HQ}_{\mathbf{d}}(\mathbf{F}(n;\mathbf{s}))) = \textit{rank } A_M(\mathcal{HQ}_{\mathbf{d}}) = \#(h^{-1}(M)).$$

Proof. If we show that $h(a,b,\mathbf{e}) = \dim_{\mathbb{C}}(T_{\mathcal{HQ}_{\mathbf{d}}}(r(a,b,\sigma))^{+})$, then by applying the theorems of Bialynicki-Birula, we will be done. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be the sequences of subsheaves associated to $r(a,b,\sigma) \in \mathcal{HQ}_{\mathbf{d}}$ as in section 3.1. From the short exact sequence on $\mathcal{HQ}_{\mathbf{d}}$ given in Lemma 1 we have:

$$0 \to T_{\mathcal{HQ}_{\mathbf{d}}}(\mathcal{A}) \to \bigoplus_{i=1}^{l} \mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{A}_i, \mathcal{B}_i) \to \bigoplus_{i=1}^{l-1} \mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{A}_i, \mathcal{B}_{i+1}) \to 0.$$

Therefore the tangent weights of $T_{\mathcal{HQ}_{\mathbf{d}}}(\mathcal{A})$ are those obtained by removing the weights of the quotient term from those of the middle term. In particular, the positive weights are also be obtained this way. More precisely, we can say that

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\dim_{\mathbb{C}}(T_{\mathcal{HQ}_{\mathbf{d}}}(\mathcal{A}))^{+} = \\
&\qquad \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^{l} \mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{A}_i, \mathcal{B}_i) \right)^{+} - \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^{l-1} \mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{A}_i, \mathcal{B}_{i+1}) \right)^{+}.
\end{aligned}
$$

Define maps $h^{k}_{i,j} : P \to \mathbb{Z}$ for $0 \le i \le j \le l, k = 1,2,3$ as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
(7) \qquad h^{1}_{i,j}(a,b,\sigma) &= \sum_{k \le s_i} (a_{i,k} + b_{i,k} + 1)\varepsilon^{\sigma}_{k,(j,l+1]} \\
h^{2}_{i,j}(a,b,\sigma) &= \sum_{k \le s_j} (a_{j,k} + b_{j,k})\varepsilon^{\sigma}_{(0,i],k} \\
h^{3}_{i,j}(a,b,\sigma) &= \sum_{k \le s_i} b_{j,k}
\end{aligned}
$$

where we allow zero maps when appropriate.

The proposition is then an immediate consequence of the following two lemmas.

Lemma 3. *For any $i \le j$,* $\dim_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{A}_i, \mathcal{B}_j))^{+} = (h^{1}_{i,j} + h^{2}_{i,j} + h^{3}_{i,j})(a,b,\sigma)$.

Lemma 4.

$$h(a,b,\sigma)=\sum_{i=1}^{l}(h^1_{i,i}+h^2_{i,i}+h^3_{i,i})(a,b,\sigma)-\sum_{i=1}^{l-1}(h^1_{i,i+1}+h^2_{i,i+1}+h^3_{i,i+1})(a,b,\sigma).$$

We first prove Lemma 4.

As an immediate consequence of the definitions (7) we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
h^1_{i,i}-h^1_{i,i+1}&=\sum_{k\le s_i}(a_{i,k}+b_{i,k}+1)\varepsilon_{k,(i,i+1]}\\
h^2_{i,i}-h^2_{i-1,i}&=\sum_{k\le s_i}(a_{i,k}+b_{i,k})\varepsilon_{(i-1,i],k}\\
h^3_{i,i}-h^3_{i-1,i}&=\sum_{s_{i-1}<k\le s_i}b_{i,k}
\end{aligned}$$

and since $h^1_{l,l+1}=h^2_{0,1}=h^3_{0,1}=0$, Lemma 4 follows. ☐

It only remains to prove Lemma 3. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\operatorname{Hom}(\mathcal{A}_i,\mathcal{B}_j)=\bigoplus_{k,m}\operatorname{Hom}(\mathcal{A}_{i,k},\mathcal{B}_{j,m})=\\
&\bigoplus_{k\le s_i}\bigoplus_{m>s_j}\operatorname{Hom}(\mathcal{O}_{\sigma(k)}(-a_{i,k}-b_{i,k}),\mathcal{O}_{\sigma(m)}))\\
&\oplus\bigoplus_{k\le s_i,m\le s_j}\operatorname{Hom}(\mathcal{O}_{\sigma(k)}(-a_{i,k}-b_{i,k}),\mathcal{O}_{\sigma(m)}/\mathcal{O}_{\sigma(m)}(-a_{j,m}-b_{j,m}))
\end{aligned}$$

We have three situations to consider:

1. $m>s_j$

$\operatorname{Hom}(\mathcal{O}_{\sigma(k)}(-a_{i,k}-b_{i,k}),\mathcal{O}_{\sigma(m)})$ is of rank $a_{i,k}+b_{i,k}+1$, with weights the same sign as $(\sigma(m)-\sigma(k))$ for N large enough. The number of $m>i$ with $\sigma(m)>\sigma(k)$ is $\sum_{i<m\le n}\epsilon_{k,m}$. In the notation of (3), since $s_{l+1}=n$, this number is $(a_{i,k}+b_{i,k}+1)\varepsilon_{k,(j,l+1]}$. This gives the term $h^1_{i,j}(a,b,\sigma)$ in Lemma 3.

2. $k\ne m$

$\operatorname{Hom}(\mathcal{O}_{\sigma(k)}(-a_{i,k}-b_{i,k}),\mathcal{O}_{\sigma(m)}/\mathcal{O}_{\sigma(m)}(-a_{j,m}-b_{j,m}))$ is of rank $a_{j,m}+b_{j,m}$, with weights the same sign as $\sigma(m)-\sigma(k)$. Thus the positive weight contribution is $\sum_{k\le s_i}(a_{j,m}+b_{j,m})\epsilon_{k,m}=(a_{j,m}+b_{j,m})\epsilon_{(0,i],m}$, which gives the term $h^2_{i,j}$ in Lemma 3.

3. $k=m$

$\operatorname{Hom}(\mathcal{A}_{i,k},\mathcal{B}_{j,k})$ sits inside the long exact sequence induced by the short exact sequence (4):

$$\begin{aligned}
(8)\qquad 0\ \to\ &\operatorname{Hom}(\mathcal{A}_{i,k},\mathcal{A}_{j,k})\to\operatorname{Hom}(\mathcal{A}_{i,k},\mathcal{O}_{\sigma(k)})\\
&\to\operatorname{Hom}(\mathcal{A}_{i,k},\mathcal{B}_{j,k})\to H^1(\mathcal{A}^*_{i,k}\otimes\mathcal{A}_{j,k})\to 0
\end{aligned}$$

We have two cases:

(a) $\mathcal{A}_{i,k} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{A}_{j,k}$. Here, equation (8) becomes

$$0 \to \mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{A}_{i,k}, \mathcal{A}_{j,k}) \to \mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{A}_{i,k}, \mathcal{O}_{\sigma(k)}) \to \mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{A}_{i,k}, \mathcal{B}_{i,k}) \to 0.$$

Γ acts on $\mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{A}_{i,k}, \mathcal{A}_{j,k})$ by $t \cdot X^r Y^s = X^{r-(a_{i,k}-a_{j,k})} Y^{-s+(b_{i,k}-b_{j,k})}$ and on $\mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{A}_{i,k}, \mathcal{O}_{\sigma(k)})$ by $t \cdot X^r Y^s = X^{r-a_{i,k}} Y^{-s+b_{i,k}}$. Thus, the positive part of this piece of $T_{\mathcal{HQ}_{\mathbf{d}}}(r(a,b,\sigma))$ has dimension $b_{j,k}$. This gives the $h^3_{i,j}$ term.

(b) $\mathcal{A}_{i,k} \not\hookrightarrow \mathcal{A}_{j,k}$. From (8) we get

$$0 \to \mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{A}_{i,k}, \mathcal{O}_{\sigma(k)}) \to \mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{A}_{i,k}, \mathcal{B}_{j,k}) \to H^1(\mathcal{A}^*_{i,k} \otimes \mathcal{A}_{j,k}) \to 0.$$

We have $H^1(\mathcal{A}^*_{i,k} \otimes \mathcal{A}_{j,k}) = H^1(\mathcal{O}((a_{i,k}-a_{j,k})+(b_{i,k}-b_{j,k})))$. By Serre duality and the same arguments as in the previous case, the positive contribution of $\mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{A}_{i,k}, \mathcal{B}_{j,k})$ is $(b_{j,k} - b_{i,k}) + b_{i,k} = b_{j,k}$. This gives the term $h^3_{i,j}$.

Therefore we have shown that $\dim_{\mathbb{C}}(T_{\mathcal{HQ}_{\mathbf{d}}}(\mathcal{A}))^+ = h(a,b,\sigma)$, so that the proposition is proved. □

5. Poincaré polynomials

We use the implicit formula for the Betti numbers proved in Proposition 3. Rewrite (6) as:

$$h(a,b,\sigma) = \sum_{i=1}^{l} \sum_{k \le s_i} \varepsilon_{k(i,i+1]} + \sum_{i=1}^{l} \sum_{s_{i-1} < k \le s_i} b_{i,k} + \sum_{i=1}^{l} \sum_{k \le s_i} (a_{i,k} + b_{i,k})(\varepsilon_{k(i,i+1]} + \varepsilon_{(i-1,i]k}) \tag{9}$$

Recall the sequences of independent nonnegative integers α and β introduced in the proof of Theorem 3, given by $\alpha_{i,j} = a_{i,j} - a_{i+1,j}, \beta_{i,j} = b_{i,j} - b_{i+1,j}$. We see that $a_{i,k} = \sum_{j \ge i} \alpha_{j,k}$ and $b_{i,k} = \sum_{j \ge i} \beta_{j,k}$. Changing to the variables (α, β, σ), the middle sum of (9) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{i \le j \le l} \sum_{k \le s_i} (\alpha_{j,k} + \beta_{j,k})(\varepsilon_{k(i,i+1]} + \varepsilon_{(i-1,i]k}) \\ &= \sum_{i \le j \le l} \sum_{s_{i-1} < k \le s_i} (\alpha_{j,k} + \beta_{j,k})(\varepsilon_{k(i,j+1]} + \varepsilon_{(i-1,j]k}) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we can simplify our expressions to get:

$$\begin{aligned} H(\alpha,\beta,\sigma) := h(a,b,\sigma) &= \sum_{i=1}^{l} \sum_{k \le s_i} \varepsilon_{k(i,i+1]} + \sum_{j=1}^{l} \sum_{k \le s_j} \beta_{j,k} \\ &+ \sum_{i \le j \le l} \sum_{s_{i-1} < k \le s_i} (\alpha_{j,k} + \beta_{j,k})(s_j - s_i + \varepsilon_{k(j,j+1]} + \varepsilon_{(i-1,i]k}) \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

By the definition of H, we have shown

Proposition 4.

$$b_{2M}(\mathcal{HQ}_{\mathbf{d}}(\mathbf{F}(n;\mathbf{s}))) = \textit{rank } A_M(\mathcal{HQ}_{\mathbf{d}}) = \#(H^{-1}(M)).$$

For $w \in S$, define $P(w)$ to be the elements $(\alpha, \beta, \sigma) \in P$ satisfying $\sigma = w$. Let H_w denote the restriction of H to $F(w)$. Then $\#(H_w^{-1}(M))$ counts the number of sequences of natural numbers (α, β) satisfying the relation $H_w(\alpha, \beta) = M$ given by (10) as well as the relations in (5). Since all of these relations are linear in the variables $\alpha_{k,j}$ and $\beta_{k,j}$, by the same reasoning as in the proof of Theorem 3, we have the following generating function:

$$\sum_{M,d_1,\ldots d_l} \#(H_w^{-1}(M)) t_1^{d_1} \cdots t_l^{d_l} z^M = \tag{11}$$
$$z^{\sum_{i\le l}\sum_{k\le s_i}\varepsilon^w_{k(i,i+1]}} \prod_{1\le i\le j\le l}\ \prod_{s_{i-1}<k\le s_i} \frac{1}{f^{i,j}_{\rho_{i,j,k}}}\frac{1}{f^{i,j}_{\rho_{i,j,k}+1}}$$

where we have defined $f_k^{i,j} = 1 - t_i \ldots t_j z^k$ and $\rho_{i,j,k} = s_j - s_i + \varepsilon^w_{k(j,j+1]} + k - s_{i-1} - 1$.

We are now ready to prove Theorem 1.

By the definitions of H and H_w, we have

$$\#(H^{-1}(M)) = \sum_{w\in S} \#(H_w^{-1}(M))$$

and hence $b_{2M}(\mathcal{HQ}_{\mathbf{d}}(\mathbf{F}(n;\mathbf{s}))) = \sum_w \#(H_w^{-1}(M))$. Therefore, by (11), it suffices to prove the following (purely combinatorial) result:

Proposition 5.

$$\sum_{w\in S(n;s_1,\ldots,s_l)} z^{\sum_{i\le l}\sum_{k\le s_i}\varepsilon^w_{k(i,i+1]}} \prod_{1\le i\le j\le l}\ \prod_{s_{i-1}<k\le s_i} \frac{1}{f^{i,j}_{\rho_{i,j,k}}}\frac{1}{f^{i,j}_{\rho_{i,j,k}+1}}$$
$$= \left(\frac{\prod_{i=1}^{n}(1-z^i)}{\prod_{j=1}^{l+1}\prod_{i=1}^{s_j-s_{j-1}}(1-z^i)}\right) \prod_{1\le i\le j\le l}\ \prod_{s_{i-1}<k\le s_i} \frac{1}{f^{i,j}_{s_j-k}}\frac{1}{f^{i,j}_{s_{j+1}-k+1}}$$

We use induction on n to prove the proposition.

For $n = 1$, there are two cases:

1. $s_1 = 0$. Here, $S(1;0) = S_1 = id$. Both sides of the equation are equal to 1, so that the proposition holds.
2. $s_1 = 1$. We have $S(1;1) = S_1 = id$. Both sides of the equation are equal to $\frac{1}{(1-z)(1-tz)}$, so again the proposition holds.

The strategy is to break up $S = S(n;(s_1, \ldots, s_l))$ into $l+1$ different permutation groups upon which we can use the inductive hypothesis.

For $1 \le m \le l+1$, let $S(m)$ denote the subset of S consisting of permutations w such that $w(s_{m-1}+1) = 1$. It is clear that $S = \bigcup_m S(m)$ is a disjoint union. Any $w \in S(m)$ satisfies: $\epsilon^w_{s_{m-1}+1,j} = 1$ for $j \ne s_{m-1}+1$ and $\epsilon^w_{i,s_{m-1}} = 0$ for all i.

For $w \in S(m)$, let $w' \in S' := S(n-1; s'_1, \ldots, s'_l)$ be defined by:

1. $w'(i) = w(i) - 1$ for $i \leq s_{m-1}$
2. $w'(j) = w(j+1) - 1$ for $s_{m-1} + 1 < j$.

Let $\epsilon'_{i,j} = \epsilon^{w'}_{i,j}$ and define $\varepsilon'_{i(k,k']}$ and $\rho'_{i,j,k}$ accordingly. Note that $s'_i = s_i$ for $i \leq m-1, s'_j = s_j - 1$ for $m \leq j$ and

$$\sum_{i \leq l} \sum_{k \leq s_i} \varepsilon_{k(i,i+1]} = (n - s_m) + \sum_{i \leq l} \sum_{k \leq s_i, k \neq s_{m-1}+1} \varepsilon_{k(i,i+1]}. \tag{12}$$

We split the left hand sum over S into sums over $S(m)$ for $1 \leq m \leq l+1$. For each $S(m)$, we can factor out the parts of the product on the left hand side where $i = m$ and $k = s_{m-1} + 1$. Using (12), this gives:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{w \in S} z^{\sum_{i \leq l} \sum_{k \leq s_i} \varepsilon_{k(i,i+1]}} \prod_{1 \leq i \leq j \leq l} \prod_{s_{i-1} < k \leq s_i} \frac{1}{f^{i,j}_{\rho_{i,j,k}}} \frac{1}{f^{i,j}_{\rho_{i,j,k}+1}} \\
&= \sum_{m=1}^{l+1} z^{n-s_m} \prod_{m \leq j} \frac{1}{f^{m,j}_{s_{j+1}-s_m}} \frac{1}{f^{m,j}_{s_{j+1}-s_m+1}} \\
&\quad \left(\sum_{w \in S(m)} z^{\sum_{i \leq l} \sum_{k \leq s'_i} \varepsilon'_{k(i,i+1]}} \prod_{i \leq m \leq j} \prod_{s'_{i-1} < k \leq s'_i} \frac{1}{f^{i,j}_{\rho'_{i,j,k}+1}} \frac{1}{f^{i,j}_{\rho'_{i,j,k}+2}} \right. \\
&\quad \left. \prod_{\substack{i \leq j \\ m > i \text{ or } j < m}} \prod_{s'_{i-1} < k \leq s'_i} \frac{1}{f^{i,j}_{\rho'_{i,j,k}}} \frac{1}{f^{i,j}_{\rho'_{i,j,k}+1}} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

By induction. we may apply the result to $S(m) \cong S(n-1; s'_1, \ldots, s'_{l+1})$ to the quantity in parentheses. In particular, by what follows from the proof of Proposition 5 for $S(m)$, the sum becomes:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{m=1}^{l+1} z^{n-s_m} \prod_{m \leq j} \frac{1}{f^{m,j}_{s_{j+1}-s_m}} \frac{1}{f^{m,j}_{s_{j+1}-s_m+1}} \\
&\quad \left(\frac{\prod_{i=1}^{n-1}(1-z^i)}{\prod_{j=1}^{l+1} \prod_{i=1}^{s'_j - s'_{j-1}} (1-z^i)} \prod_{i \leq m \leq j} \prod_{s'_{i-1} < k \leq s'_i} \frac{1}{f^{i,j}_{s'_j - k}} \frac{1}{f^{i,j}_{s'_{j+1}-k+1}} \right. \\
&\quad \left. \prod_{\substack{i \leq j \\ m > i \text{ or } j < m}} \prod_{s'_{i-1} < k \leq s'_i} \frac{1}{f^{i,j}_{s'_j+1-k}} \frac{1}{f^{i,j}_{s'_{j+1}+1-k+1}} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Since we have:

$$\frac{\prod_{i=1}^{n}(1-z^i)}{\prod_{j=1}^{l+1} \prod_{i=1}^{s_j - s_{j-1}} (1-z^i)} = \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{n-1}(1-z^i)}{\prod_{j=1}^{l+1} \prod_{i=1}^{s'_j - s'_{j-1}} (1-z^i)} \frac{1-z^n}{1-z^{s_m - s_{m-1}}},$$

we can write this sum explicity as:

$$\sum_{m=1}^{l+1} z^{n-s_m} \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{n}(1-z^i)}{\prod_{j=1}^{l+1}\prod_{i=1}^{s_j-s_{j-1}}(1-z^i)} \cdot \frac{(1-z^{s_m-s_{m-1}})}{(1-z^n)}$$

$$\prod_{m\leq j} \frac{1}{f^{m,j}_{s_{j+1}-s_m}} \frac{1}{f^{m,j}_{s_{j+1}-s_m+1}} \cdot \prod_{m\leq j} \prod_{s_{m-1}<k\leq s_m-1} \frac{1}{f^{m,j}_{s_j-k}} \frac{1}{f^{m,j}_{s_{j+1}+1-k}}$$

$$\prod_{i\leq m-1} \prod_{s_{i-1}<k\leq s_i} \frac{1}{f^{i,m-1}_{s_{m-1}-k}} \frac{1}{f^{i,m-1}_{s_m-1-k}} \prod_{\substack{i\leq j\\ i\neq m\\ j\neq m-1}} \prod_{s_{i-1}<k\leq s_i} \frac{1}{f^{i,j}_{s_j-k}} \frac{1}{f^{i,j}_{s_{j+1}+1-k}}$$

By clearing denominators, the proposition follows once we have proven:

Lemma 5.

$$(1-z^n) \prod_{1\leq i\leq j\leq l} f^{i,j}_{s_{j+1}-s_i} =$$

$$\sum_{m=1}^{l+1} z^{n-s_m}(1-z^{s_m-s_{m-1}}) \prod_{i\leq m-1} f^{i,m-1}_{s_m-s_{i-1}} \prod_{m\leq j} f^{m,j}_{s_j-s_m} \prod_{\substack{i\leq j\\ i\neq m\\ j\neq m-1}} f^{i,j}_{s_{j+1}-s_i}$$

Proof. First, we change our notation so that we work with independent variables x_i where we define $x_r = t_1 ... t_r$, with $x_0 = 1$. Then for any $i \leq j$, we have $t_i ... t_j = x_j / x_{i-1}$. Therefore we have

$$f^{i,j}_k = 1 - t_i ... t_j z^k = \frac{x_{i-1} - x_j z^k}{x_{i-1}}.$$

Substituting into both sides of Lemma 5 and multiplying through by $x_0^l x_1^{l-1} ... x_l^0$ it suffices to prove the following polynomial identity in the ring $\mathbb{C}[x_0, ... x_n, z]$, where we define $e^{i,j}_k = x_i - x_j z^k$:

$$(1-z^n) \prod_{1\leq i\leq j\leq l} e^{i-1,j}_{s_{j+1}-s_i} = \tag{13}$$

$$\sum_{m=1}^{l+1} z^{n-s_m}(1-z^{s_m-s_{m-1}}) \prod_{i\leq m-1} e^{i-1,m-1}_{s_m-s_{i-1}} \prod_{m\leq j} e^{m-1,j}_{s_j-s_m} \prod_{\substack{i\leq j\\ i\neq m\\ j\neq m-1}} e^{i-1,j}_{s_{j+1}-s_i}.$$

The polynomial ring $\mathbb{C}[x_0, ... x_n, z]$ is a unique factorization domain, and that the left side of (13) completely factored except for the term $(1-z^n)$. Since the degree of z matches on both sides, as does the term of $t_1^0 ... t_l^0 = 1$, namely $(1-z^n)$, it is enough to show the right side vanishes with the relation $e^{i-1,j}_{s_{j+1}-s_i} = 0$ for each $i \leq j$, i.e. that $(x_{i-1} - x_j z^{s_{j+1}-s_i})$ is a factor of the right side.

Fix $i \leq j$. Then all but two summands on the right vanish. In particular, after some cancellations in the final terms of the two products, and bringing one of the terms to the other side, we have left to show:

$$\begin{aligned} (14) \qquad & z^{n-s_i} \prod_{p \leq i-1} e^{p-1,i-1}_{s_i - s_{p-1}} \prod_{i \leq q} e^{i-1,q}_{s_q - s_i} \prod_{\substack{p \leq j \\ p \neq i}} e^{p-1,j}_{s_{j+1}-s_p} \prod_{j+1 \leq q} e^{j,q}_{s_{q+1}-s_{j+1}} \\ & = -z^{n-s_{j+1}} \prod_{p \leq j+1} e^{p-1,j}_{s_{j+1}-s_{p-1}} \prod_{j+1 \leq q} e^{j,q}_{s_q - s_{j+1}} \prod_{\substack{i \leq q \\ q \neq j}} e^{i-1,q}_{s_{q+1}-s_i} \prod_{p \leq i-1} e^{p-1,i-1}_{s_i - s_p} \end{aligned}$$

with the relation $e^{i-1,j}_{s_{j+1}-s_i} = 0$.

But now, by doing the substitution $x_{i-1} = x_j z^{s_{j+1}-s_i}$, we see that we will have proven Lemma 5 once we show that the polynomial identity (14) holds in the (unique factorization domain) $\mathbb{C}[x_0, ...x_{i-2}, x_i, ...x_n, z]$. We make the following observations about the substitution:

1. $e^{p-1,i-1}_{s_i-s_{p-1}} \mapsto e^{p-1,j}_{s_{j+1}-s_{p-1}}$
2. $e^{p-1,i-1}_{s_i-s_p} \mapsto e^{p-1,j}_{s_{j+1}-s_p}$
3. $e^{i-1,q}_{s_q-s_i} \mapsto \begin{cases} z^{s_{j+1}-s_i} e^{j,q}_{s_q - s_{j+1}} & \text{when } j+1 \leq q \\ -z^{s_q - s_i} e^{q,j}_{s_{j+1}-s_q} & \text{when } q \leq j \end{cases}$
4. $e^{i-1,q}_{s_{q+1}-s_i} \mapsto \begin{cases} z^{s_{j+1}-s_i} e^{j,q}_{s_{q+1} - s_{j+1}} & \text{when } j \leq q \\ -z^{s_{q+1} - s_i} e^{q,j}_{s_{j+1}-s_{q+1}} & \text{when } q < j \end{cases}$

Substituting into both sides of (14), and using the above properties, we have two completely factored polynomials on each side of the identity. It is easy to check that the degree of z in the two terms match, that the sign matches, and that the factors of the form $e^{i,j}_k$ match exactly. $\square$

This concludes the proof of Proposition 5 and Theorem 1.

For any scheme X, setting $z = 1$ into the Poincaré polynomial

$$\mathcal{P}(X) = \sum_M (-1)^M b_M(X) z^M$$

gives the Euler characteristic $\chi(X)$. Since odd cohomology of $\mathcal{HQ}_{\mathbf{d}}$ vanishes, this substitution into Theorem 1 provides another proof of Theorem 3.

6. Special cases

6.1. **Quot scheme.** We can apply Theorem 1 to the ordinary Quot scheme, parametrizing rank r degree d quotients of $V_{\mathbf{P}^1}$, to get a generating function for the Poincaré polynomials of $\mathcal{HQ}_d(\mathbf{G}^r(n))$.

Theorem 4.

$$\sum_d \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{HQ}_d(\mathbf{G}^r(n)))t^d =$$

$$\mathcal{P}(\mathbf{G}^r(n)) \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{n-r} \left(\frac{1}{1-tz^{i-1}}\right)\left(\frac{1}{1-tz^{n-i+1}}\right).$$

where $\mathcal{P}(\mathbf{G}^r(n)$ is the Poincaré polynomial of $\mathbf{G}^r(n)$, which is the following classical generating function for the Betti numbers for the Grassmannian:

$$\mathcal{P}(\mathbf{G}^r(n)) = \sum_M b_{2M}(\mathbf{G}^r(n))z^M = \frac{\prod_{i=1}^n (1-z^i)}{\prod_{i=1}^{n-r}(1-z^i)\prod_{i=1}^r(1-z^i)}$$

This was the case studied by Strømme, who found implicit formulas for the Betti numbers, which are the same up to notation as those found in this paper. Generators and relations of the Chow ring of $\mathcal{HQ}_{\mathbf{d}}$ are also given in [S]. However, this set is far from minimal, and is not suited to the study of the Chow rings as the degree d becomes large.

6.2. **Hyperquot scheme for a complete flag variety.** Consider the space $\mathcal{HQ}_{\mathbf{d}}(\mathbf{F}(n;\mathbf{s}))$ where $l = n-1$ and $s_i = i$, i.e. the space $\mathcal{HQ}_{\mathbf{d}}(\mathbf{F}(n))$.

Fix n. Then we have the following generating function for the Poincaré polynomials of $\mathcal{HQ}_{\mathbf{d}}(\mathbf{F}(n))$ where $\mathbf{d} = (d_1, ..., d_{n-1})$.

Theorem 5.

$$\sum_{d_1,...d_{n-1}} \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{HQ}_{\mathbf{d}}(\mathbf{F}(n)))t_1^{d_1}...t_{n-1}^{d_{n-1}} =$$

$$\mathcal{P}(\mathbf{F}(n)) \cdot \prod_{1\le i\le j\le n-1} \left(\frac{1}{1-t_i...t_jz^{j-i}}\right)\left(\frac{1}{1-t_i...t_jz^{j-i+2}}\right).$$

The classical term $\mathcal{P}(\mathbf{F}(n))$ is given by:

$$\mathcal{P}(\mathbf{F}(n)) = \sum_M b_{2M}(\mathbf{F}(n))z^M = \frac{\prod_{i=1}^n(1-z^i)}{\prod_{j=1}^n(1-z)}.$$

6.3. **Examples.**

1. $\mathbf{F}(1;0) = \mathbf{G}^1(1)$ is a point and $\mathcal{HQ}_d(\mathbf{F}(1;0))$ is a point for $d = 0$ and empty for $d > 0$.

$$\sum_d \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{HQ}_d(\mathbf{F}(1;0)))t^d = 1$$

   which is consistent with the theorem.
2. $\mathbf{F}(1;1) = \mathbf{G}^0(1)$ is a point. $\mathcal{HQ}_d(\mathbf{F}(1;1))$ parametrizes quotients of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^1}$ of rank 0 and degree d, which are all of the form $\mathcal{O} \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{O}_D$, where

$D \in \mathrm{Sym}^d\mathbf{P}^1 \cong \mathbf{P}^d$. Therefore $\mathcal{HQ}_d(\mathbf{F}(1;1)) \cong \mathbf{P}^d$, giving:

$$\sum_{d,M} b_{2M}(\mathbf{P}^d)t^d z^M = \sum_d t^d \left(\sum_{M\leq d} z^M\right) = \frac{1}{(1-t)(1-tz)}.$$

3. $\mathcal{HQ}_d(\mathbf{G}^{n-1}(n))$ can be viewed as the space of sheaf injections $\mathcal{O}(-d) \hookrightarrow \oplus_{i=1}^n \mathcal{O}$ up to equivalence. Each inclusion of sheaves is given by n sections in $H^0(\mathbf{P}^1, \mathcal{O}(d))$. Thus, we can view any such inclusion as an element of the vector space $\oplus_{i=1}^n H^0(\mathcal{O}(d))$ of dimension $n(d+1)$. Two inclusions are equivalent exactly when they differ by a scalar. Hence, $\mathcal{HQ}_d \cong \mathbf{P}^{n(d+1)-1}$ so that $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{HQ}_d) = \sum_{0\leq M\leq n(d+1)} z^M$.

References

[B1] A. Bertram, *Towards a Schubert calculus for maps from a Riemann Surface to a Grassmannian*, Internat. J. Math., no. 5 (1995), 811-825.

[B2] A. Bertram, *Quantum Schubert calculus*, Adv. Math., no. 128 (1997), 289-305.

[BB1] A. Bialynicki-Birula, *Some theorems of algebraic groups*, Ann. Math., 98 (1973), 480-497.

[BB2] A. Bialynicki-Birula, *Some properties of the decomposition of algebraic varieties determined by action of a torus*, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. Sér. Sci. Math. Astronom. Phys. 24 (1976) no. 9, 667-674.

[C1] L. Chen, *Quantum cohomology of flag manifolds*, preprint.

[C2] L. Chen, *Quantum cohomology of partial flag manifolds*, Ph.D. Dissertation, University of Chicago, 2000, in preparation.

[C-F1] I. Ciocan-Fontanine, *The quantum cohomology of flag varieties*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **351** (1999), no. 7, 2695-2729.

[C-F2] I. Ciocan-Fontanine, *On quantum cohomology rings of partial flag varieties*, Duke Math. J. **98** (1999), no. 3, 485–524.

[FGP] S. Fomin, S. Gelfand, and A. Postnikov, *Quantum Schubert polynomials*, J. Amer. Math. Soc., **10** (1997), 565-596.

[FK] M. Finkelberg and A. Kuznetsov, *Global intersection cohomology of quasimaps' spaces*, Internat. Math. Res. Notices, no. 7 (1997), 301–328.

[G] A. Grothendieck, *Techniques de construction et théorèmes d'existence en géometrie algébrique, IV: Les schémas de Hilbert*, Seminaire Bourbaki, no. 221 (1960/61).

[K] B. Kim, *Gromov-Witten invariants for flag manifolds*, Ph.D. Dissertation, University of California at Berkeley, 1996.

[Ko] J. Kollar, *Rational curves on Algebraic Varieties*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1996.

[M] D. Mumford, *Lectures on curves on an algebraic surface*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1966.

[S] S.A. Strømme, *On parametrized rational curves in Grassmann varieties*, Lecture Notes in Math, **1266** (1987), 251-272.